**REVIEW REPORT**

**Sprint 3, 15/11/2024 – 21/11/2024**

**Group ID:** **8**

**Project name**: T-2Hand

**Prepared by:** **Trần Đan Huy**

**Present members:**

22127377 – **Lâm Sỹ Tân** *Leader*   
22127163 – **Trần Đan Huy**  
22127444 – **Trần Thị Cát Tường**  
22127026 – **Ôn Gia Bảo**

22127074 – **Võ Hoàng Đức**

* All members attended.
* **Meeting date:** 20/11/2024- 9:00 PM

# WORK REVIEW

| **Item** | **Description** | **Responsibility** | **Due date** | **Completion level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Revise Use-case specification | Trần Thị Cát Tường  Võ Hoàng Đức | 8:00 PM -20/11/2024 | 100% |
| 2 | Divide the system into components, connect them, and describe each component in detail in the Logical View section of the SAD document | Trần Thị Cát Tường  Lâm Sỹ Tân | 8:00 PM -20/11/2024 | 100% |
| 3 | Describe responsibility and how component is connected with other  components in Section 4.x | Võ Hoàng Đức | 8:00 PM -20/11/2024 | 100% |
| 4 | Draw class diagrams | Trần Đan Huy  Ôn Gía Bảo | 8:00 PM -20/11/2024 | 100% |
| 5 | Document Training | All | 8:00 PM -20/11/2024 | 100% |
| 6 | Report | Trần Đan Huy | 11:00 PM -20/11/2024 | 100% |

**2. FULL EVALUATION**

1. **What went well ?**

* Additional document training sessions helped members improve their understanding of formatting, grammar, and word usage.
* All members completed tasks effectively and met assigned deadlines.
* The team worked actively and was highly productive in completing tasks.
* Team members interacted well, supported each other, and helped solve arising issues to ensure the work was completed
* Complete the Use Case Model and Use Case Specification well.
* The sections in the architecture document are discussed, clearly agreed upon, and fairly well completed.

1. **What went wrong ?**

* The class diagram had to be revised multiple times because each member had different understandings, requirement interpretations, and design styles, resulting in the product being presented in various ways.
* Communication and health issues.

1. **What problems and what caused the problem ?**

* Team members have different understandings and perspectives on requirements, leading to inconsistencies in diagram design.
* Each member has their own design style, making it challenging to unify the documents.

1. **What can be done differently in the next sprint to improve the project ?**

* The time should be more efficiently calculated given the workload problems between the courses of this semester
* There should be more training sessions on the documentation to help members gain a comprehensive understanding of it.
* Increase interaction among members on issues discussed collectively to arrive at the most effective solutions based on mutual agreement.

1. **What lesson could we learn ?**

* The group must handle big workloads with good communication and timing.
* Everything must be thoroughly discussed before reaching a consensus for the entire group to follow.

# SUMMARY

Sprint 3 lasted only one week, making the execution time quite tight. In addition to this project, team members also had to complete assignments for other courses, causing the progress to slow down somewhat. However, each member made great efforts to excel in their assigned roles and tasks. The **Use Case Specification** documents were carefully refined and achieved good quality. At the same time, the team successfully initiated the completion of the architecture document design and constructed the **Package Diagram** and **Class Diagram** in a stable state, marking an important milestone in the project.